

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
4 AT TACOMA

5 BARRY SCOTT BLAND,

6 Petitioner,

7 v.

8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

9 Respondent.

CASE NO. CV19-5482 BHS

(17-cr-05385-BHS-1)

ORDER GRANTING  
PETITIONER'S UNOPPOSED  
MOTION TO VACATE  
CONVICTION AND SENTENCE

10 This matter comes before the Court on Petitioner Barry Scott Bland's ("Bland")  
11 motion to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Dkt. 1.

12 On May 31, 2019, Bland filed a motion to vacate his 2018 conviction for Felon in  
13 Possession of Ammunition in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). Dkt. 1. Bland argued  
14 that his § 922(g) conviction was unlawful because he did not have a qualifying predicate  
15 felony offense under the reasoning of *United States v. Valencia-Mendoza*, 912 F.3d 1215  
16 (9th Cir. 2019) ("*Valencia-Mendoza*") because his mandatory state guideline range for  
17 any predicate offense was a sentence of less than one year. *Id.*

18 While Bland's motion was pending, the Ninth Circuit took up the issue in *United*  
19 *States v. McAdory*, C.A. No. 18-30112 ("*McAdory*"). This Court stayed Bland's motion  
20 pending the outcome of *McAdory*. Dkt. 4. The Circuit issued the *McAdory* opinion on  
21 August 28, 2019. *United States v. McAdory*, 935 F.3d 838 (9th Cir. 2019). In relevant  
22 part, the panel concluded that it was bound by *Valencia-Mendoza*'s holding that an

1 offense is not punishable by more than one year if the defendant is not actually exposed  
2 to a sentence exceeding one year under Washington's mandatory sentencing scheme. *Id.*  
3 Therefore, the panel vacated the defendant's § 922(g) conviction for lack of a predicate  
4 felony. *Id.*

5 On September 23, 2019, the Court held a status conference where the Government  
6 argued that Bland's motion should be further stayed while the Solicitor General decided  
7 whether to pursue en banc review in *McAdory*. Dkt. 6. On November 19, 2019, the  
8 Government filed a surreply stating that the Solicitor General had decided not to seek en  
9 banc review. Dkt. 9. The Government also conceded in its surreply that "*McAdory*  
10 controls the outcome of Bland's timely § 2255 motion . . . ." *Id.*

11 Because Bland lacks a qualifying predicate offense under *Valencia-Mendoza* and  
12 *McAdory*, his conviction for violation of § 922(g)(1) is unlawful. Therefore, his motion  
13 for relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is **GRANTED**, his judgment of conviction in  
14 *United States v. Bland*, No. 17-cr-5385 BHS is **VACATED**, and the one-count  
15 indictment in that case is **DISMISSED**. The Probation Office shall release Bland from  
16 any supervision obligations forthwith. Regarding the instant matter, the Clerk shall enter  
17 a judgment in Bland's favor and close this case.

18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 Dated this 2nd day of December, 2019.

20  
21 

22  
BENJAMIN H. SETTLE  
United States District Judge